

- 1 Real line and its subsets
- 2 Topology and metric spaces
- 3 Classes of sets
- 4 Measures

#### 4.1 Measure on a semiring. Extension to a ring

Let  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of subsets of  $\Omega$ . A function  $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow E$  is called an  $E$ -valued set function. Let us focus on the case when  $E = \overline{\mathbb{R}} = [-\infty, +\infty]$ .

**Definition 4.1** A set function  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathcal{C}$  is called *additive* if for all  $E, F \in \mathcal{C}$  s.t.  $E \cup F \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ ,  $\mu(E \cup F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F)$ .

**Definition 4.2**  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathcal{C}$  is called *finitely (countably) additive* if  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i)$

$\left(\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)\right)$  for all disjoint sets  $E_i \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , whose union  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \mathcal{C}$   
 $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \mathcal{C}\right)$ .

**Definition 4.3**  $\mu$  is called a *finite* set function on  $\mathcal{C}$  if  $|\mu(E)| < \infty \forall E \in \mathcal{C}$ .  $\mu$  is called  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{C}$  if  $\forall E \in \mathcal{C} \exists \{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{C}$  s.t.  $E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  and  $|\mu(E_n)| < \infty$ .

**Definition 4.4** Let  $\mu, \nu$  be two set functions defined on classes  $\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C}$  respectively. Suppose  $\mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}$  and  $\mu(E) = \nu(E) \forall E \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , then  $\nu$  is said to be an *extension* of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\mu$  is said to be a *restriction* of  $\nu$  to  $\mathcal{C}_0$  (written  $\nu|_{\mathcal{C}_0} = \mu$ ).

**Definition 4.5** A *measure* is a non-negative, countably additive set function  $\mu$  defined on a semi-ring  $\mathcal{P}$  s.t.  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .

Note:  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  in the above definition follows from countable additivity except in the case when  $\mu(E) = \infty \forall E \in \mathcal{P}$ , since if  $\exists E \in \mathcal{P}$  s.t.  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , then  $E = E \cup \emptyset \cup \dots \cup \emptyset$ , thus  $\mu(E) = \mu(E) + \mu(\emptyset) + \mu(\emptyset) + \dots$ , implying that  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .

If a measure  $\mu$ , as a set function on  $\mathcal{P}$ , is finite (or  $\sigma$ -finite),  $\mu$  is referred to as a finite ( $\sigma$ -finite), measure.

It is often convenient to define a set function, with certain properties, on a small class  $\mathcal{C}$  of sets, and then extend it to obtain a set function on a much larger class of sets (like  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ ).

**Example:** Consider a semiring  $\mathcal{P} = \{[a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$  and define a set function  $\mu : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  by

$$\mu([a, b)) = |b - a| = \text{length of } [a, b).$$

Then  $\mu$  is a (finite) measure on  $\mathcal{P}$  and one wants to find a natural extension of  $\mu$  to a ring  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$ . By a proposition proved earlier,

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}) = \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i) : [a_i, b_i) \cap [a_j, b_j) = \emptyset \quad \forall i \neq j, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

and it's natural to extend  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$  by defining

$$\nu \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu([a_i, b_i))$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all disjoint intervals  $[a_1, b_1), \dots, [a_n, b_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Similarly, the extension of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$  is constructed for the case when  $\mathcal{P}$  is an arbitrary semiring.

**Theorem 4.1** *If  $\mu$  is a measure on a semiring  $\mathcal{P}$ , then there exists a unique extension  $\nu$  of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$ . Moreover,  $\nu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$  and if  $\mu$  is finite (or  $\sigma$ -finite) on  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $\nu$  is finite ( $\sigma$ -finite) on  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$ .*

**Remark on Sums:** Suppose  $\Omega$  is a countable set, say  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots\}$ , and let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be such that the limit

$$s := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(\omega_1) + f(\omega_2) + \dots + f(\omega_n)) < \infty.$$

Suppose also that  $\Omega = \{\omega'_1, \omega'_2, \dots\}$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(\omega'_1) + f(\omega'_2) + \dots + f(\omega'_n)) = s.$$

(I.e. the order of summation of non-negative terms does not matter.)

Since the order of summation of non-negative terms does not matter, we will often write simply

$$\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} f(\omega)$$

for a countable  $\Omega$  and a non-negative  $f$ .

**Proposition 4.1** For an arbitrary measure  $\mu$  on a ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , the following properties hold:

- (i)  $\mu$  is monotone, i.e.  $\forall E, F \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $E \subset F$ ,  $\mu(E) \leq \mu(F)$ .
- (ii)  $\mu$  is subtractive, i.e.  $\forall E, F \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $E \subset F$ ,  $\mu(F - E) = \mu(F) - \mu(E)$ .
- (iii)  $\mu$  is countably subadditive on  $\mathcal{R}$ , i.e.  $\forall \{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \in \mathcal{R}$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i).$$

## 4.2 Outer measures

**Definition 4.6** A monotone set function  $\mu^* : 2^{\Omega} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is called an *outer measure* if the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ , and
- (ii)  $\mu^*$  is countably subadditive on  $2^{\Omega}$ , i.e.  $\forall \{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in 2^{\Omega}$ ,  $\mu^*(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_i)$ .

**Definition 4.7** Given an outer measure  $\mu^*$ , define a class

$$S_{\mu^*} := \{E \in 2^{\Omega} : \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) \quad \forall A \in 2^{\Omega}\}.$$

The sets belonging to  $S_{\mu^*}$  are called  $\mu^*$ -measurable.

Note that  $\emptyset$  and  $\Omega$  are  $\mu^*$ -measurable for any outer measure  $\mu^*$  on  $2^{\Omega}$ .

### Simple examples of outer measures:

- (1) Put  $\mu^*(E) \equiv 0$  for all  $E \in 2^{\Omega}$ . Then  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure and  $S_{\mu^*} = 2^{\Omega}$ .
- (2) Take  $\Omega = \{a, b\}$  and put  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\mu^*(\{a\}) = \mu^*(\{b\}) = 1$ ,  $\mu^*(\Omega) = 3/2$ . Then  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure and  $S_{\mu^*} = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ .
- (3) Take  $\Omega = \{a, b, c\}$  and put  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\mu^*(\{a\}) = 1$ ,  $\mu^*(\{b, c\}) = 2$ ,  $\mu^*(\{b\}) = \mu^*(\{c\}) = 3/2$ ,  $\mu^*(\{a, b\}) = \mu^*(\{a, c\}) = 5/2$ ,  $\mu^*(\Omega) = 3$ . Then  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure and  $S_{\mu^*} = \{\emptyset, \Omega, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ .

**Proposition 4.2**  $\forall E, F \in S_{\mu^*}$ ,  $\forall A \subset \Omega$ ,

- (i)  $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F^c) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c \cap F^c)$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu^*(A \cap (E \cup F)) = \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F^c)$ ;
- (iii) If  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ , then  $\mu^*(A \cap (E \cup F)) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap F)$ .

**Note:** direct comparison of (ii) and (iii) in the above proposition leads to the following equation:  $\forall E, F \in S_{\mu^*}$  and  $\forall A \subset \Omega$ ,

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap (E \cup F)) + \mu^*(A \cap (E \cup F)^c). \quad (1)$$

**Theorem 4.2**  $S_{\mu^*}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field. If  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in S_{\mu^*}$  is a disjoint sequence and  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ , then  $\mu^*(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_i)$ . Thus  $\mu^*|_{S_{\mu^*}}$  is a measure on  $S_{\mu^*}$ .

Given a measure  $\mu$  on a ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , our objective now is to find an outer measure  $\mu^*$  with the property that  $\mu^*|_{\mathcal{R}} = \mu$ .

**Definition 4.8** Given an outer measure  $\mu$  on a ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , define a set function  $\nu_{\mu}$  such that  $\forall E \subset \Omega$ ,

$$\nu_{\mu}(E) := \begin{cases} \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) : \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \right) \supset E, E_i \in \mathcal{R} \ \forall i = 1, 2, \dots \right\}, & \text{if } \exists \{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{R} \text{ s.t. } E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.3**  $\nu_{\mu}$  is an outer measure and  $\nu_{\mu}|_{\mathcal{R}} = \mu$ .

### 4.3 Extension of measures from rings to generated $\sigma$ -rings

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a ring  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\nu_{\mu}$  be an outer measure generated by  $\mu$ , given by Definition 4.8. Let  $S_{\nu_{\mu}}$  be the corresponding  $\sigma$ -field of  $\nu_{\mu}$ -measurable sets.

**Proposition 4.3**  $\mathcal{R} \subset S_{\nu_{\mu}}$ , implying that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}) \subset S_{\nu_{\mu}}$  and  $\sigma(\mathcal{R}) \subset S_{\nu_{\mu}}$ .

Thus, we can immediately deduce that  $\nu_{\mu}|_{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})}$  is a measure extending  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$ .

Is the extension to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$  unique? The answer is 'yes' if  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{R}$ . The proof of uniqueness requires the following fact:

**Proposition 4.4** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a non-empty class of subsets of  $\Omega$  and let  $\mathcal{D}^{\circ}(\mathcal{C})$  be the smallest class containing  $\mathcal{C}$  which is closed under the formation of proper differences and countable disjoint unions. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under the formation of (finite) intersections, then  $\mathcal{D}^{\circ}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Theorem 4.4** Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a ring  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then there exists a measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$  extending  $\mu$ . If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{R}$ , then  $\tilde{\mu}$  is the unique such extension of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$  and is itself  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$ .

**Remark:** We have seen that  $\nu_{\mu}|_{\sigma(\mathcal{R})}$  is a measure on  $\sigma(\mathcal{R})$  extending measure  $\mu$  from the ring  $\mathcal{R}$ . Suppose that  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Is the extension of  $\mu$  to  $\sigma(\mathcal{R})$  unique? In general, the answer is 'no'. For example, take  $\Omega = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\mathcal{R} = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}\}$ . Define a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{R}$  by  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\mu(\{a, b\}) = 1$ . Consider  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}) = \sigma(\mathcal{R}) = \{\emptyset, \Omega, \{a, b\}, \{c\}\}$  and put  $\nu_1(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\nu_1(\{a, b\}) = 1$ ,  $\nu_1(\{c\}) = 0$  and  $\nu_1(\Omega) = 1$ . Also, put  $\nu_2(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\nu_2(\{a, b\}) = 1$ ,  $\nu_2(\{c\}) = 1$  and  $\nu_2(\Omega) = 2$ . Then clearly,  $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \mu$  on  $\mathcal{R}$  (and  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$ ), but  $\nu_1 \neq \nu_2$  on  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}) = \sigma(\mathcal{R})$ .

**Summary:** We showed that starting with a measure  $\mu$  on a semiring  $\mathcal{P}$ , an extension may be obtained to a measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$ .  $\nu$  can then be extended to a measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}))$ . The extension from  $\mu$  to  $\nu$  is unique. The extension from  $\nu$  to  $\tilde{\mu}$  is unique, provided  $\nu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{R}$ . This will be so if  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{P}$ . Thus,

**Theorem 4.5** *Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a semiring  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then there exists a measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$ , extending  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  ( $\tilde{\mu}(E) = \mu(E)$  if  $E \in \mathcal{P}$ ). If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $\tilde{\mu}$  is the unique extension to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$  and is itself  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$ .*

**Lebesgue Measure:** Take  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\mathcal{P} = \{(a, b] : -\infty < a \leq b < \infty\}$ , and define  $\forall (a, b] \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$\lambda \{(a, b]\} = b - a.$$

Suppose we can show that  $\lambda$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then, by previous theorem, there exists a unique extension  $\tilde{\lambda}$  of  $\lambda$  to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$ . Note that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$  should contain

$$\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} (n, n + 1].$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) = \sigma(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Measure  $\tilde{\lambda}$  (uniquely) defined on the class of Borel sets is called a *Lebesgue measure*.

**Lebesgue-Stieltjes Measures:** Take  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$  and let  $F$  be any finite-valued, non-decreasing function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , such that  $F$  is right-continuous at all points. Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{(a, b] : -\infty < a \leq b < \infty\}$  and define  $\forall (a, b] \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$\lambda_F \{(a, b]\} = F(b) - F(a).$$

Then, by similar arguments, one can show that there exists a unique extension  $\tilde{\lambda}_F$  on  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  of a given measure  $\lambda_F$  on  $\mathcal{P}$ . Such a  $\tilde{\lambda}_F$  is called a *Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure* on  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  corresponding to the function  $F$ .

**Probability measures:** A measure  $\mu$  defined on a field  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a *probability measure* if  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ . Then, by the preceding theorem, there exists a unique extension  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$  which is a probability measure on  $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$ .